

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Manston Airport](#)
Subject: For the attention of the Manston Airport Case Team
Date: 03 December 2021 10:34:15

Dear Sir/Madame

I agree with the Examining Authority's original decision. It was a robust examination and it's recommendation to reject the Application is correct in my view. I have read the Independent Assessor, Ove Arup's, report since and that I am persuaded that there have been no material changes since the ExA report. There is still no case for this airport. I insist the application is rejected on grounds of expert advice and common sense. Here are a few cites on my concerns re climate change, health, noise etc.

Carbon targets are more likely to be met by new state-of-the-art facilities around an existing runway than by forcing existing operational airports to replace theirs.

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/TR020002/TR020002-005769-Applicant's%20Submission%20for%20the%20re-determination%20of%20the%20Manston%20Application.pdf>

Jenny Dawes has submitted a detailed evidenced submission prepared for her by York Aviation. They consider in detail:

- Relevant National Policy
- Local Policy and Development
- The Quantified need case
- The effects of COVID 19
- Change related to UK's withdrawal from the European Union
- Airline Fleets
- E-commerce
- Market recovery and the need for capacity

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/TR020002/TR020002-005743-Jenny%20Dawes.pdf>

In their report, the Secretary of State for Transport's appointed Independent Assessor, Ove Arup & Partners Ltd, confirmed PINs assessment and RTC's consultant's view that there is no proven need for a new freight airport at the former Manston Airport site in SE Kent that cannot be provided elsewhere.

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/TR020002/TR020002-005347-TR020002%20Final%20Recommendation%20Report%20to%20DfT.pdf>

There is no new evidence to suggest a different conclusion should be drawn in respect of the locational performance of Manston compared to East Midlands Airport, and to a lesser extent Stansted, to that of the ExA Report.

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp->

<content/ipc/uploads/projects/TR020002/TR020002-005842-Manston%20DRAFT%20Assessors%20Report%20-%20Publicationv1.pdf>

I really do hope the SoS and his colleagues realise the mistakes that were made in the last decision process and do not make the same incorrect decision this time. Thanet and the UK do not need Manston Airfield to be any kind of an airfield ever again.

Best

Ruth

Ruth Baird gradIOSH AIIRSM



